Case 5:08-cv-05081-JW Document 13 Filed 02/06/09 Page 1 of 3 HOWARD HOLDERNESS (SBN 169814) 1 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP IT IS SO ORDEREI 2 One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105 3 Telephone: 415.442.1000 Facsimile: 415.442.1001 4 Judge James E-mail: hholderness@morganlewis.com 5 ROBERT A. PARTICELLI (PAB 82651) (Pro Hac Vice) 6 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1701 Market Street 2/6/2009 7 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: 215.963.5000 8 Facsimile: 215.963.5001 E-mail: rparticelli@morganlewis.com 9 10 Attorneys for Defendant HEWLÉTT-PACKARD COMPANY 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 15 LANCE WAIDZUNAS, BRENT WEST, Case No. 08-cy-05081JW MICHAEL MANN, TYLER BURGERT, CHI LOK LEUNG, and BRIAN 16 STIPULATION ENLARGING TIME FOR ROBINSON, individually and on behalf of HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY TO 17 all others similarly situated, **RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT** 18 Plaintiffs, 19 VS. 20 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, 21 Defendant. 22 23 24 25 26 27

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PHILADELPHIA

STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR HP TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT (08-CV-05081-HRL)

WHEREAS, this case is one of several federal actions that appear to arise out of similar facts and circumstances, and to raise substantially similar issues:

WHEREAS, a number of these other federal actions have been marked related to one another pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12;

WHEREAS, Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP"), a defendant in several of these alreadyrelated actions, has filed today a motion seeking relationship of the above-captioned matter with *Inicom Networks, Inc. v. NVIDIA Corp., et al.*, No. 08-cv-04332-JW;

WHEREAS, the Honorable James Ware, who is presiding over the already-related actions, has scheduled a Case Management Conference for February 23, 2009 that will address, among other issues, the filing of an amended complaint that would supersede the individual complaints currently on file in the already-related actions and, potentially, the operative complaint in the above-captioned action as well;

WHEREAS, requiring HP to respond to the operative complaint in this action could result in the unnecessary expenditure of private and judicial resources;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between Plaintiffs and HP, through their designated counsel of record that, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6.1(a), HP is not required to respond to the operative complaint in this action except on twenty (20) days written notice by Plaintiff.

Case 5:08-cv-05081-JW Document 13 Filed 02/06/09 Page 3 of 3 Dated: January 9, 2009 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP /s/ Robert A. Particelli ROBERT A. PARTICELLI, ESQUIRE Attorneys for Defendant HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Dated: January 9, 2009 SEEGER WEISS LLP /s/ Jonathan Shub JONATHAN SHUB, ESQUIRE Attorneys for Plaintiffs LANCE WAIDZUNAS, BRENT WEST, MICHAEL MANN, TYLER BURGERT, CHI LOK LEUNG, AND BRIAN ROBINSON

	3	STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR HP TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT (08-CV- 05081-HRL)	
--	---	--	--